UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/344,499	06/25/1999	JOHN S. HENDRICKS	033033.00014	9133
4372 ARENT FOX L	7590 02/10/201 LP	EXAMINER		
1050 CONNEC SUITE 400	TICUT AVENUE, N.	ABEBE, DANIEL DEMELASH		
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2626	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/10/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent_Mail@arentfox.com

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/344,499		HENDRICKS ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Daniel D. Ab		2626				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 No.	ovember 201	0					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🔀	4) Claim(s) 1-6,8-13,22-27,29-34,43-54 and 59-62 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🛛	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-13,22-27,29-34,43-54,59-62</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election req	uirement.					
Application Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5 6	Notice of Informal Pa					

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on 1/21/2011 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 8, 11, 22, 29, 32, 43, 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification doesn't adequately describe how the library performs the conversion as now claimed. according to the specification the function of the library is receiving the electronic book in text form from the central station, storing it and communicating it to the viewer.

Application/Control Number: 09/344,499 Page 3

Art Unit: 2626

Claims 1, 8, 11, 22, 29, 32, 43, 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. the claim where the conversion occurs by the library is new matter.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on November 19, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The examiner disagrees with applicant's argument particularly one in reference to Sears document. According to the disclosure and the claims of the present invention the method, once the books are received, involves the step of displaying the book, receiving a selection of text and converting at least part of the selected text into a corresponding speech and outputting the speech to the user. This is similar to what Sear is teaching. According to Sear a text is displayed on a display and upon the selection of the user, the selected portion of the text is converted into the corresponding speech and outputted. For these reasons the examiner maintains the rejection of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2626

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 8-13, 22-26, 29-34, 43-54 and 59-62 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimune et al. (6,438,233), in view of
Anderson et al. (5,157,783) and further in view of Sears et al. (6,115,482)

As to claims 1, 43 and 51 Yoshimune (Fig.5) teaches a method of providing an electronic books comprising the steps of:

selecting an electronic book from a list of available electronic books stored; receiving the selected book and displaying the pages on a terminal (55) display see (Figs.5-11, 16-22, 31, 32, 36-42 and 51-53; Col.12, line 34-Col.13, line 55).

Yoshimune doesn't explicitly teach Wherein the selected electronic book are from a list of available electronic books stored remotely.

However, Anderson teaches an electronic book accessing and display system, where a user selects and review a book from a displayed list wherein the books are located in an on-line remote database (Figs.10-15; abstract, Col.3, lines 28-50; Col.10, lines 50-55; Col.11, lines 41-45). Allowing the user to select books from a remote storage, as taught by Anderson and claimed by the present invention is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, for the purpose of providing the user efficient access to larger choice of books.

1Ò0

Art Unit: 2626

It is also noted that Yoshimune doesn't explicitly teach the claim limitation where the displayed electronic text materials are "provided as audio".

Sears, however teaches a method for providing an electronic book in audio form utilizing text to speech conversion of a text material that is displayed on a display device wherein the text material comprises electronic books, magazines, newspaper etc, comprising the steps of receiving selection of the text and synthesizing the text into speech (Fig.1; abstract; Col.3, lines 20-50; Col.4, lines 12-33; Col.4, line 66-Col.5, line 5; Col.6, lines 13-20).

providing the electronic book in audio form would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention for the purpose of allowing people to listen the book instead of reading it.

FIG. 10

LANGE I EA863. 7 1.48E. 3 The Book-metapher is a data presentation scheme for a computer

display in which data are expressed in a form of a book. This Book-metaphor is an epoch making scheme which can make the computer inserface move friendly to the buman user.

Application/Control Number: 09/344,499

Art Unit: 2626

As to claims 4, 44 and 52, Sears teaches where definitions/translations are verbally provided for words selected by the user (Col.10, lines 5-15).

As to claims 5 and 49, Sears teaches adjusting the rate of the audio output corresponding to the text and the user command (Col.9, lines 55-65).

With regard to claim 8, Anderson teaches the method for selecting a book where the book is accessed from a remote location and displaying the selected book for reading and Sears teaches where the displayed pages are verbally read by an electronic book system as addressed above.

As to claims 9-10, Sears teaches controlling the speed and the style of the audio corresponding to text (Col.6, lines 13-20; Col.9, lines 55-65; Col.10, lines 16-30) and pausing and resuming the audio are inherent in light of these features.

As to claim 11, the method is analogous to claims 1 and 8 above and therefore rejected by Yoshimune and Anderson in view of Sears for the foregoing reasons.

As to claims 12-13, Sears teaches controlling the speed and the style of the audio corresponding to text (Col.6, lines 13-20; Col.9, lines 55-65; Col.10, lines 16-30) and pausing and resuming the audio are inherent in light of these features.

With regards to Claims 22-26 and 29-34, the corresponding apparatus for performing the claimed method comprising the above recited step for providing text into audio form is analogous and therefore rejected by Yoshimune, Anderson and sears for the foregoing reasons.

As to claims 47-48, Yoshimune teaches where the displayed text includes digital

video image and Sears teaches where the system speaks aloud text corresponding to the displayed video images and displayed text when the user requests a more detailed explanation (Fig.8; Col.21, lines 28-32).

As to claims 59-62, the presentation of the audio output in Sears is in real time where the text is synthesized using audio files.

As to claim 50, Official Notice is taken that providing prompts in audible form is well know in the art at the time of applicant's invention and would have been obvious in view of the prior arts of record as an alternative to the text prompts.

Claims 2-3, 45-46 and 53-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimune et al., Anderson et al in view of Sears et al. (6,115,482) as addressed above and further in view of Quentin et al. (5,208,745)

As to claims 2-3, 45-46 and 53-54, Sears teaches controlling the speed and the style of the audio corresponding to text (Col.6, lines 13-20; Col.9, lines 55-65; Col.10, lines 16-30) but doesn't explicitly teach the claimed features of pausing and resuming commands. However, Quentin teaches a method for verbally presenting electronic books and articles, where the user interrupts the audio presentation of the text by the multimedia through commands (Col.13, lines 50-55). The steps of pausing and resuming the audio are obvious features in the prior arts of record for allowing the user to control the book reading.

Art Unit: 2626

Claims 6 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimune et al., Anderson et al., in view of Sears et al. (6,115,482) and further in view of Huffman et al. (5,663,748).

With regard to claims 6 and 27, Sears teaches providing an electronic book in audible form, however he doesn't explicitly teach selecting the voice.

Huffman teaches a system for providing an electronic book in audio form by synthesizing speech from the text comprising a customized voice dictionary (Figs.1-6; Col.7, lines 35-45) wherein the voice dictionary comprises voice font for presenting the electronic book is selected (Fig.10; Col.7, lines 45-54). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to combine the teachings for the purpose of providing the audio output in a voice of the user choice.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel D. Abebe whose telephone number is 571-272-7615. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Daniel D Abebe/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626 Application/Control Number: 09/344,499

Page 10

Art Unit: 2626